Research Article

Does the Time of Day have an Effect on the Success and Complications of ERCP?

Berk Bas, Omer Kucukdemirci*, Muge Ustaoglu, Beytullah Yildirim

Department of Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, Ondokuz Mayis University, Samsun, Turkey.

Abstract: Background: In ERCP, if modifiable risk factors can be minimized, indirectly, the rates of complications will also decrease.

Objective: In the present study, the aim is to investigate whether the timing of ERCP (morning versus afternoon) was associated with the success of cannulation and procedure-related complications in patients with bile duct stones undergoing ERCP.

Materials and Methods: This is a retrospective study of patients who underwent ERCP for common bile duct (CBD) stones. We compared the complication rate and the success of CBD cannulation between procedures conducted in the morning and those carried out in the afternoon during ERCP.

Result: A total of 402 patients were enrolled. The mean age of patients was 64.4 ± 19 years with a total bilirubin value of 7.5 ± 11.6 mg/dL, direct bilirubin value of 4.9 ± 8.6 mg/dL, and CBD of 11 ± 2.4 mm. 201 (50%) of the patients underwent ERCP in the morning and 201 (50%) in the afternoon. The rates of post-ERCP pancreatitis, bleeding, and the success of cannulation were 5.5%, 10%, and 96.5% in the morning ERCP group and 5%, 13.4%, and 93% in the afternoon ERCP group, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences between groups in post-ERCP pancreatitis (p=0.606), bleeding (p=0.277), and the success of CBD cannulation (p=0.117).

Conclusion: Between morning and afternoon ERCP procedures, no statistically significant difference was detected.

Keywords: ERCP, Ampulla vateri cannulation, ERCP complication, Time-of-day, ERCP timing, Cholangitis, Choledocholithiasis.

INTRODUCTION

For the past five decades, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) has been employed for the assessment of bile ducts and pancreatic ducts, as well as for the treatment of related pathologies [1, 2]. The emergence of several complications after ERCP, including pancreatitis, bleeding, perforation, and cholangitis, suggests the need for careful evaluation of patients for the indication of the procedure [3, 4]. With the recent technological advances, endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) has become widespread use and are preferred for diagnostic purposes due to their similar sensitivity and a lower rate of complications compared to ERCP in the majority of pancreaticobiliary disorders [5]. The reported incidence of complications associated with therapeutic ERCP as high as 8.4% and a mortality of 0.33% led to a quest for new approaches to minimize these rates [6]. Age, gender, common bile duct (CBD) diameter, bilirubin level, balloon dilation of the biliary sphincter, pancreatic duct injection/cannulation, pancreatic sphincterotomy, precut sphincterotomy, and periampullary diverticulum have been determined to affect the incidence of complications after ERCP and the success of the procedure [7]. Reducing potential risk factors would result in decreased rates of complications [8]. Therefore, it is

critical to determine whether the timing of the procedure is a risk factor. The aim of this study is to investigate whether the timing of ERCP (morning versus afternoon) had any effect on the success of cannulation and procedure-related complications in patients with comparable risk factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 402 ERCP performed for common bile duct stone in our institution from January 2017-December 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. Patients' age, gender, CBD diameter, total/ direct bilirubin levels, and also whether biliary sphincter balloon dilatation, pancreatic duct injection/cannulation, pancreatic sphincterotomy, precut sphincterotomy were performed during the procedure, and the presence of periampullary diverticulum all of these findings had been entered into the hospital record system. ERCP procedures were performed by the same physicians with the same series of duodenoscopes. All the physicians performing the ERCP had 15 years of experience with 350-400 ERCP procedures per year. In cases of difficult biliary cannulation, firstly, guide wire cannulation was attempted as a salvage technique. In cases where biliary cannulation was unsuccessful, the standard contrast-assisted cannulation technique was perfermed. Exclusion criteria are listed in Table 1. The institutional ethics committee has approved the study (approval no.: 2021000365-1).

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, Ondokuz Mayis University, Samsun, Turkey. Email: drkucukdemirci@yahoo.com

Patients were divided into two groups according to the start time for each ERCP procedure: morning (prior to 12:00 PM) and afternoon (after 12:00 PM). Study groups were not statistically significantly different concerning factors that could influence the success of the procedure. ERCP complications and the success of CBD cannulation were compared between the groups. Post-ERCP complications were scored based on their severity [9].

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data analysis was carried out using SPSS 15.0 for Windows. Continuous variables were assessed for variance among groups using either one-way analysis of variance or Student's t-test, as appropriate. For categorical variables, the Chi-square test was employed. Significance was determined by a p-value less than 0.05.

RESULT

A total of 402 patients, 194 (48.2%) and 208 (51.8%) male were included in the study. The mean age was 64.4 ± 19 years, the mean total bilirubin and direct bilirubin levels were 7.5 ± 11.6 mg/dl and 4.9 ± 8.6 mg/dl, respectively. 201 (50%) of the 402 procedures were performed in the morning, and the remaining were performed in the afternoon. There was no statistically significant difference between the morning and the afternoon groups in terms of age, gender, and bilirubin levels. The mean

CBD width in the morning and afternoon groups was $10.4 \pm 3.2 \text{ mm}$ and $10.1 \pm 2.6 \text{ mm}$, respectively (p: 0.243) (Table 2). There was no statistical difference between the two groups in terms of demographic features, ERCP indications, and factors impacting cannulation success (common bile duct width, age, surgical history). There was no difference in procedural success or complications between patients with similar characteristics between groups (p: 0.117).

The success of CBD cannulation and the complications are summarized in Table **3**. There was no mortality in either group. Regarding complications and cannulation success rates, no differences were observed between the groups.

The cannulation procedures used in patients and their distribution in the morning and afternoon were shown in Table **4**.

Table 1. Exclusion and Termination Criteria.

1)	Under 18 years of age.
2)	Findings suggestive of pancreatic biliary malignancy.
3)	Suspected malignancy during the procedure .
4)	Risk factors for ERCP complications (eg, use of medi- cations that increase the tendency for bleeding, hema- tological disease, liver cirrhosis, chronic renal disease).
5)	History of any previous papillary intervention .
6)	Inadequate documentation of procedure starting time.

Table 2. Comparison of Factors Affecting ERCP Success by Day Code.

	Morning		Afte	Afternoon		tally		
	Mean ± SD	Mean (minmax.)	Mean ± SD	Mean (min max.)	Mean ± SD	Mean (min max.)	Test statistic	р
Age (years)	65,7±19,1	68 (7-97)	63,1 ± 18,9	66 (20 - 96)	64,4±19	67 (7 - 97)	U=18485,000	0,141
CBD width (mm)	10,4 ± 3,2	10 (1-24)	10,1 ± 2,6	10 (5 - 20)	10,3 ± 2,9	10 (1 - 24)	U=19323,500	0,243
Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	7,9±12,7	1,4 (0,2 -74)	7,1±10,3	1,7 (0 - 47)	7,5±11,6	1,6 (0 - 74)	U=20841,500	0,581
Direct bilirubin (mg/dl)	5,1 ± 9,2	1,1 (0,1 - 68)	4,7±8	1 (0 - 48)	4,9±8,6	1 (0 - 68)	U=20473,000	0,815

Table 3. Evaluation of Complications by Day Code.

	Day Code		Test statistic	
	Morning	Afternoon	Test statistic	р
PostERCP Pancreatitis				
No	190 (94,5)	191 (95)	$w^2 = 0.407$	0.491
Yes	11 (5,5)	10 (5)	$\chi^2 = 0,497$	0,481
Bleeding				
No	181 (90)	174 (86,6)	$x^2 = 1.191$	0.277
Yes	20 (10)	27 (13,4)	$\chi^2 = 1,181$	0,277

Perforation				
No	201 (100)	200 (99,5)	$x^2 - 1.002$	0.217
Yes	0 (0)	1 (0,5)	$\chi^2 = 1,002$	0,317
Cholangitis				
No	199 (99)	199 (99)		0 600f
Yes	2 (1)	2(1)		0,688 ^f
Mortality				
No	201 (100)	201 (100)		
Yes	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Success				·
No	7 (3,5)	14 (7)	$x^2 - 2.462$	0,117
Yes	194 (96,5)	187 (93)	$\chi^2 = 2,462$	
Bleeding Score				·
No	182 (90,5)	174 (86,6)		0,153
Mild	19 (9,5)	24 (11,9)	$\chi^2 = 3,761$	
Moderate	0 (0)	3 (1,5)		
Pancreatitis Score		· · · ·		
No	190 (94,5)	191 (95)		
Mild	11 (4,5)	9 (4,5)	$\chi^2 = 1,003$	0,606
Moderate	0 (0)	1 (0,5)		

 Table 4. Comparison of Trading Techniques by Day Code.

	D	Day Code			
	Morning	Afternoon	— Test Statistic	р	
Fistulotomy	l				
No	182 (90,5)	176 (87,6)	2 0.010	0,338	
Yes	19 (9,5)	25 (12,4)	$\chi^2 = 0.919$		
Biliary Sphincter Balloon Dilati	ion	·	·		
No	57 (28,4)	53 (26,4)	2 0.200	0,655	
Yes	144 (71,6)	148 (73,6)	$\chi^2 = 0,200$		
Pancreatic Cannulation	l				
No	150 (74,6)	159 (79,1)	-2 1 1 2 2	0,287	
Yes	51 (25,4)	42 (20,9)	$\chi^2 = 1,133$		
Pancreatic Sphincterotomy	J		l.		
No	190 (94,5)	190 (94,5)	2 0.000	1,000	
Yes	11 (5,5)	11 (5,5)	$\chi^2 = 0,000$		
Success	,	·			
No	7 (3,5)	14 (7)	2 2 4 6 2	0,117	
Yes	194 (96,5)	187 (93)	$\chi^2 = 2,462$		
Biliary Stent		·			
No	170 (84,6)	165 (82,1)	2 0 440	0,503	
Yes	31 (15,4)	36 (17,9)	$\chi^2 = 0,448$		
Pancreatic Stent		· ·			
No	196 (97,5)	192 (95,5)	2 1 104		
Yes	5 (2,5)	9 (4,5)	$\chi^2 = 1,184$	0,277	

DISCUSSION

The key finding of our study was that the time of the ERCP procedure did not affect the success of CBD cannulation, success rate, and complications. Even though there was no statistically significant difference, while the rate of CBD cannulation was 96.5% in patients who were processed in the morning, this rate was 93% in patients who were processed in the afternoon. This rate is similar to traditional cannulation rates [10-12].

In the English literature, the technical success rate is variable in patients who underwent ERCP for choledocholithiasis. Rates ranging from 80.5% to 100% have been recorded in studies using large patient populations [13, 14]. In our study, high procedural success was achieved in both groups, but the morning group's success was higher than the afternoon group's, even though the difference was not statistically significant. The inclusion of patients with CBD stones in the study, which was performed by endoscopists with 15 years of experience and a similar number of ERCPs per year, and the large number of exclusion criteria caused the number of cases to be low. Thus, the influence of doing the procedure in the morning or afternoon, success in cannulation can be more clearly proven in research involving larger populations. Emerging as a result of biliary and pancreatic sphincterotomy, bleeding is a common complication. In our study, during and after ERCP procedure, bleeding rates were greater in the afternoon than the morning procedures (10% vs 13.4%), and all patients in the morning group who experienced bleeding were treated with epinephrine injection during the procedure. In addition to higher bleeding rates in the afternoon group, serious bleeding was seen (necessitating transfusion, requiring reprocessing, causing hypotension and tachycardia) only in the afternoon group. Our bleeding rates were significantly higher than those reported in the literature. Bleeding is the most common complication of ERCP after pancreatitis, and its incidence varies between 1% and %2 [6, 10, 11, 15].

Cholangitis, anticoagulant drug usage, and coagulopathies are all known to promote bleeding [16, 17]. There was no coagulopathy or anticoagulant drug use in any of the individuals. In 18 (4.4%) of the patients, pus drainage occurred during the procedure. Since extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) cannot be applied in the treatment of patients with cholangitis with large CBD stones in our clinic, larger sphincterotomy was needed to remove these stones, which may explain the high prevalence of bleeding compared to the literature [18]. Post-ERCP pancreatitis is the most common complication of ERCP [19]. Our post-ERCP pancreatitis rates were found to be similar to those reported in the literature [3, 9, 10]. The incidence of post-ERCP pancreatitis varies between 1,6 and 5,4%. %0, 4-0, 7% of patients who acquire pancreatitis get severe life-threatening pancreatitis [13]. While there was no statistically significant difference in post-ERCP pancreatitis outcomes between the groups, it is worth noting that occurrences were more frequent in the morning group. This may be due to the higher pancreatic cannulation rate in the morning group. Among the complications of ERCP, perforation occurs, with an incidence ranging from 0.5%

to 2.1% [8, 20]. Perforation occurred in only one patient, who was in the afternoon group. Cholangitis developed in 0.99% of the participants, which is consistent with previous studies [21].

The number of patients who developed cholangitis was equal in the morning and afternoon groups. The results of this study add information about endoscopic workload and its impact on endoscopic outcome measures. The rate of ERCP success and post-ERCP complications in a sizable community setting was unaffected by the time of day or the workload of the endoscopist [22, 23]. It has been reported that, later start times were observed to have a negative impact on the sensitivity and diagnostic precision of fine needle aspiration during endoscopic ultrasonography for solid pancreatic lesions [22]. In evaluating the effect of the time-of-day ERCP procedure, no difference was found in terms of biliary cannulation success, ERCP completion rates, or significant side effects between morning ERCP and afternoon [24, 25].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study did not demonstrate a statistically significant difference in ERCP procedures between the morning and afternoon. Nevertheless, gaining insight into ERCP risk factors can contribute to the prevention or mitigation of associated complications.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

- **Berk Baş**: Conceived and designed the analysis, collected the data, contributed data and analysis tools, performed the analysis, wrote the paper.
- Omer Kuçukdemirci: Wrote the paper, correspondence.
- Muge Ustaoglu: Critical feedbacks and supervision.
- Beytullah Yildirim: Critical revision.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Declared none.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- Cotton PB, Garrow DA, Gallagher J, Romagnuolo J. Risk factors for complications after ERCP: A multivariate analysis of 11,497 procedures over 12 years. Gastrointest Endosc 2009; 70(1): 80-8.
- [2] Facciorusso A, Ramai D, Gkolfakis P, et al. Comparative efficacy of different methods for difficult biliary cannulation in ERCP: Systematic review and network meta-analysis. Gastrointest Endosc 2022; 95(1): 60-71. e12.
- [3] Johnson KD, Perisetti A, Tharian B, et al. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography-related complications and their management strategies: A "scoping" literature review. Digest Dis Sci

2020; 65: 361-75.

- [4] Nalankilli K, Kannuthurai S, Moss A. A modern approach to ERCP: Maintaining efficacy while optimising safety. Digest Endoscopy 2016; 28: 70-6.
- [5] Manoharan D, Srivastava DN, Gupta AK, Madhusudhan KS. Complications of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: An imaging review. Abdomin Radiol 2019; 44: 2205-16.
- [6] Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S, et al. Complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. N Engl J Med 1996; 335(13): 909-18.
- [7] Chen P-H, Tung C-F, Peng Y-C, Yeh H-Z, Chang C-S, Chen C-C. Duodenal major papilla morphology can affect biliary cannulation and complications during ERCP, an observational study. BMC Gastroenterol 2020; 20: 1-8.
- [8] Chandrasekhara V, Khashab MA, Muthusamy VR, et al. Adverse events associated with ERCP. Gastrointest Endosc 2017; 85(1): 32-47.
- [9] Colton JB, Curran CC. Quality indicators, including complications, of ERCP in a community setting: A prospective study. Gastrointest Endosc 2009; 70(3): 457-67.
- [10] Choudari CP, Sherman S, Fogel EL, *et al*. Success of ERCP at a referral center after a previously unsuccessful attempt. Gastrointest Endosc 2000; 52(4): 478-83.
- [11] Tringali A, Loperfido S, Ferrara F. Post-endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) bleeding. UpToDate 2021; Available at: https://pro.uptodatefree.ir/Show/636.
- [12] Katsinelos P, Lazaraki G, Chatzimavroudis G, *et al*. Risk factors for therapeutic ERCP-related complications: An analysis of 2,715 cases performed by a single endoscopist. Ann Gastroenterol 2014; 27(1): 65-72.
- [13] Oh HC, El H, II, Easler JJ, *et al.* Post-ERCP bleeding in the era of multiple antiplatelet agents. Gut Liver 2018; 12(2): 214-8.
- [14] Ghaderi R, Ghojazadeh M, Khoshbaten M, Faravan A. Effect of aggressive fluid therapy on outcomes after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: A randomized controlled clinical trial. Middle East J Digest Dis 2019; 11(2): 76.
- [15] Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S, et al. Complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. New Engl J Med 1996;

Received: September 4, 2023

Revised: December 11, 2023

Accepted: December 18, 2023

335(13): 909-19.

- [16] Li D-f, Yang M-f, Chang X, et al. Endocut versus conventional blended electrosurgical current for endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy: A meta-analysis of complications. Digest Dis Sci 2019; 64: 2088-94.
- [17] Oh H-C, El Hajj II, Easler JJ, *et al*. Post-ERCP bleeding in the era of multiple antiplatelet agents. Gut and Liver 2018; 12(2): 214.
- [18] Korpela T, Udd M, Tenca A, *et al*. Long-term results of combined ESWL and ERCP treatment of chronic calcific pancreatitis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2016; 51(7): 866-71.
- [19] Kochar B, Akshintala VS, Afghani E, *et al.* Incidence, severity, and mortality of post-ERCP pancreatitis: A systematic review by using randomized, controlled trials. Gastrointest Endosc 2015; 81(1): 143-9. e9.
- [20] Lee A, Jensen CD, Marks AR, *et al*. Endoscopist fatigue estimates and colonoscopic adenoma detection in a large community-based setting. Gastrointest Endosc 2017; 85(3): 601-10.e2.
- [21] Chen M, Wang L, Wang Y, et al. Risk factor analysis of post-ERCP cholangitis: A single-center experience. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2018; 17(1): 55-8.
- [22] Mehta PP, Sanaka MR, Parsi MA, et al. Effect of the time of day on the success and adverse events of ERCP. Gastrointest Endosc 2011; 74(2): 303-8.
- [23] Elta GH, Jorgensen J, Coyle WJ. Training in interventional endoscopy: Current and future state. Gastroenterology 2015; 148(3): 488-90.
- [24] Korenblit J, Tholey DM, Tolin J, *et al.* Effect of the time of day and queue position in the endoscopic schedule on the performance characteristics of endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration for diagnosing pancreatic malignancies. Endosc Ultrasound 2016; 5(2): 78-84.
- [25] Du L, Cen M, Zheng X, Luo L, Siddiqui A, Kim JJ. Timing of performing endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and inpatient mortality in acute cholangitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 2020; 11(3): e00158.

^{© 2024} National Journal of Health Sciences This is an open-access article.